DR. TALMAGE'S SERMON.

THE EMINENT DIVINE'S SUNDAY DISCOURSE.

Subject: "Where We Came From"-The Uncompromising War Between Science Falsely So-Called and Revelation-Evolution is Infidel and Heathen.

Text: "O Timothy, keep that which is committed to the trust, avoiding oppositions of science falsely so-called."—I Timothy vi., 20.

There is no contest between genuine science and revelation. The same God who by the hand of the prophet wrote on parchment, by the hand of the storm wrote on ment, by the hand of the storm wrote on the rock. The best telescopes and microscopes and electric batteries and philosophical apparatus belong to Christian universities. Who gave us magnetic telegraphy? Professor Morse, a Christian, Who swung the lightnings under the sea, cabling the continents together? Cyrus W. Field the Christian. Who discovered the acceptable to Christian who discovered the acceptable to the relief of human pain than any man that ever lived, driving back time-tesths of the horrors of surgery:

And so they say all.

Herbert Spencer, in the only address he made in this country, in his very first sentence ascribes his physical allineats to face, and the authorized report of that address begins the word fate with a big "F." Professor Haeskel, in the very first page of his two great volumes sneers at the Bible as a so-called revelation. Tyndall in his famous prayer test, deled the whole of Christendom to above that human surplication. dom to show that human supplication made any difference in the result of things. John Stuart Mill wrote elaborately against Christianity, and to show that his rejection of it was complete, ordered this epitach for his tombstone: "Most unbappy." Huxley said that at the first reading of Darwin's book he was convinced of the fact that telectory had received its death blow at the hand of Mr. Darwin. All the leading acceptance who believe in explication without scientists who believe in evolution, without one exception the world over, are infidels. I say nothing against infidelity, mind you. I only wish to define the belief and the

I only wish to define the benef and the meaning of the rejection.

Now, I put apposite to each other, to show that evolution is infidelity, the Bible account: God said, let us make man in our image. God created man in His own image, male and female created He them. He breathed into him the breath of life, the whole story setting forth the idea that it was not a perfect kangaroo, or make more noise than the thousands who idea that it was not a perfect kangaroo, or a perfect outang outang, but a perfect man. That is the Bible account. The evolutionist account: Away back in the ages there were four or five primal germs, or seminal spores from which all the living creatures have been evolved. Go away back, and there you will find a vegetable stuff that might be called a mushroom. This mushroom by innate force develops a tadpole, the tadpole by innate force develops a fish, the fish by natural force develops into a reptile, the reptile develops into a quadruped, the quadruped develops into a pakeon, the baboon develops for a man

baboon, the baboon develops into a man.

Darwin says that the human hand is only a fish's fin developed. He says that the a fish's fin developed. He says that the human lungs are only a swim bindder showing that we once floated or were ampathious. He says that the human ear could once have been moved by force of will just as a horse lifts its ear at a frightful object. He says the human race were to tadpoie, from tadpoie to han, from san to tadpoie, from tadpoie to han, from san to reptile, from reptile to wolf, from wolf to chimpanzee, and from chimpanzee to man. Now, if anybody says that the Bible account of the starting of the human race and the evolutionist account of the starting of the human race are the same acc he makes an appailing misrepresenta-

Prefer, if you will, Darwin's "Origin of Prefer, if you win, Darwis s Origin of the Species" to the Book of Genesis, but know you are an infide. As for myself, as Herhert Spencer was not present at the creation and the Lord Aimighty was prescreation and the Lord Aimighty was present, I prefer to take the Divine account as to what really occurred on that occasion. To show that this evolution is only an attempt to eject God and to postpone Him, and to put Him clear out of reach, I ask a question or two. The baboon made the man and the wolf made the baboon and the reptile made the quadraped, and the fish made the reptile, and the tadpole made the fish, and the primal germ made the tadpole. Who made the primal germ made the tadpole. Who made the primal germ Most of the evolutionists say: "We don't know!" Others say it made itself. Others say it was spontaneous generation. There is not one of them who will fairly and openly and frankly and emphatically say, "God made it." God made it.

"God made it."

The nearest to a direct answer is that made by Herbert Spencer in which he says it was made by the great "unknowable mystery." But here comes Huxley with a cup of protoplasm to explain the thing. This protoplasm, he says, is primal lifegiving quality with which the race away back in the ages was started. With his protoplasm he proposes to explain everything. Dear Mr. Huxley, who made the protoplasm?

To show you that evolution is infidel. I place the Bible account of how the brute creation was started opposite to the evolutionist's account, of the way the brate creation was started. Bible account: You know the Bible tells us how that the birds were made at one time and the cattle made at another time, and that each brought forth after its kind. Evolutionist's account From four or five primal germs or seminal spores all the living creatures evolved. Hundreds of thousands of species of insects, of reptiles, of beasts, of fish from four germs—a statement flative contradicting not only the Bible, but the very A B of science. A species never develops into anything but its own species. In all age and in all the world there has never been an exception to it. The shark never comes but of a whale, nor the pigeon of a vulture, nor the butterfly of a wasp. Species never ross over. If there be an attempt at it, it is hybrid, and the hybrid is always sterile, and has no descendants.

These men of science tell us that a hun-

the amostical conversion. Whe disserved the amostical respects of children the amostical respective of challenges of children, that are made in the amost of the horrors of surgery James V. Simpson, of Edinburgh. As emission with the conversion of the surgery and the sur

ng up and down guessing through the universe. Anything to push away back Jehovah from His empire and make the one flook which is His great communication to the soul of the human race appear obsolete and delusion. But I am glad to know that while some of these scientists have gone evolution, there are many that do not believe it. Among them, the man who by nost is considered the greatest scientist we ever had this side of the water—Agassiz. A name that makes every intelligent man on

ganized beings actually taking place. There is no such thing on record. It is chifting the ground of observation from the field of observation to another to make this statement, and when the assertions re-too far as to exclude from the domain a science those who will not be dragged into

make more noise than the thousands who have rejected it.

There is one tenet of evolution which it is demanded we adopt, that which Darwin calls "Nataral Selection," and that which Wallace calls the "Survival of the Fittest." By this they mean that the human race and the brute creation are all the time introving because the week die and the and the brute creation are all the time improving because the weak die and the strong live. Those who do not die survive because they are the fittest. They say the breed of sheep and cattle and dogs and men is all the time improving, naturally improving. No need of God, or any Bible, or any religion, but just natural progress.

You see the race started with "spontaneous generation," and then it goes right on until Darwin can take us up with his "nat-

ous generation, and then it goes right on until Darwin can take us up with his "natural selection," and Wallace with his "survival of the fittest," and so we go right on up forever. Beautiful! But do the fittest survive? Garfield dead in September—Guiteau surviving antil the following June. 'Survival of the fittest?" Ah! no martyrs, religious and political, dying for their principles, their bloody persecutors living on to old age. "Survival of the fittest?" No. Bitten with the frosts of the second death be the tongue that dares utter it! It is not the "survival of the fit-

How has it been in the families of the world? How was it with the child physi-cally the strongest, intellectually the brightest, in disposition the kindest? Did that child die because it was not as fit to live as those of your family that survived? Not "the survival of the fittest." In all communities some of the noblest, grandest men dying in youth, or in mid-life, while some of the meanest and most contemptible live on to old age. Not "the survival of the

What is remarkable about this thing is, it is all the time developing its *ishonesty. In our day it is ascribing this evolution to Herbert Spencer and Charles Darwin. is a dishonesty. Evolution was known and advocated hundreds of years before these gentlemen began to be evolved. The Phænicians thousands of years ago declared that the human race wobbled out of

the mud.

It is an old beathen corpse set up in a morgue. Charles Darwin and Herbert Spencer have tried to galvanize it. They drag this old putrefaction of three thousand years around the earth, bonsting that it is their originality, and so wonderful is the infatuation that at the Delmonico dinner given in honor of Herbert Spencer, some fifteen years ago, there were those who ascribed to him this great originality of evolution. There the banqueters sat around the table in honor of Herbert Spencer, chewing beef and turkey and roast pig

COALING WARSHIPS.

This Task at Sea Involves Great Difficulty and Expense.

The first men drop rubber fenders where they are needed and the others, under the direction of an officer, tilg at the helm and drop anchors to control the motion of the ship as much as possible.

The cost is simply transferring the coal is enormous. The St. Louis or the Harvard spends over \$56,000 in a year for getting coal out of colliers into its evolution theory in roology is treated would lead those who are not special roologists to suppose that observations have been made by which it can be inferred that there is in nature such a thing as change among or-Buiount.

ANCIENT REMEDIES.

It is strange to notice the great belief

Aichemy and Superstition Proposed Some Ridiculous Compounds,

he ancients appeared to have in the restorative properties of human blood. So, for epilepsy, diseases of the brain, and even for spleen, human blood was much recommended. "In the menth of May take a considerable quantity of healthy young men's blood." The blood was distilled twice and dried in the sun. One wonders what the "healthy young men" had to say on this subject of blood-letting, especially as "a considerable quantity" was taken. In olden times no one need remain wrinkled. All that was necessary was to "smear the face with a mixture of water and the pounded root of wild cucumber." If any one was afflicted with freckles it was his own fault; the remedy was simple if scarcely pleasant; he must "rub a bull's gall on the face." To us who are apt to be irritated by dust or smoke in the eye while on the railway the following prescription should be valuable: "Chant the psalm 'Qui habitat' thrice over water, with which then douche the eye." A certain remedy for curing an inabriate was to give him as many eggs of the screech owl boiled hard as he could possibly eat, when he would ever after be a total abstainer. This is surely worthy the attention of the temperance societies. The search for "the philosopher's stone" seems to have been no mere craze of the unlettered. Men of unimpeachable ability and great learning wasted the greater part of their lives on this quest. Some supposed mercury to be the chief transmuting force; others thought that by sulphur the bodies of metals could be turned into "the. most fine pure gold and silver." Though Bacon was a firm believer in the elixir vitae, Paracelsus was the most diligent inquirer after this wondrous liquid. He prepared a remedy called "Primum Ens Melissae," which was made of pure carbonate of potash and the fresh leaves of the melissa plant, on which was poured pure alcohol. Generally, however, the idea seems to have been that the elixir of life was composed of the four elements blended together .-London Spectator.

FIRES THE DYNAMITE GUNS.

Lieut. John Gardiner Quinby, Ord-nance Officer of the Vesuvius.

Ideut. John Gardner Quinby, who fired the dynamite guns on the Vesuvius at the recent bombardment of one of the forts at Santiago, is the senior lieutenant and ordnance officer of the dynamite cruiser. He was born in Rochester, N. Y., in 1860, and is a son of Brig. Gen. I. F. Quinby, who was a classmate of Gen. U. S. Grant at West Point. Lieut. Quinby was appointed to Annapolis by President Grant in 1874, and was graduated third in his class. He was the youngest member of the class, being only 14 years old when appointed. After leaving Annapolls he was assigned to the Richmond as an ensign, and served on her when she carried Gen. Grant on his 'trip ' around the world. He next served on the Lancaster, and from 1885 to 1887 was instructor in applied mathematics at Annapolis. His next service was on



LIEUT, JOHN GARDNER OUINBY.

n cruise as Junior lieutenant on the schoolship Jamestown, and then be was detailed to the receiving ship Franklin, at the Norfolk pavy yard. For three years succeeding this he was in charge of the Government hydrographic office at Norfolk, after which he took a course of study in high explosives at the Naval War College at Newport. He is recognized as a torpedo expert and was assigned to the Vesuvius on account of his expert knowledge of the handling of high explosives.

Pacts About the Coliseum.

The largest single structure in the world for audience and spectacular purposes is the Coliseum at Rome. It is in the form of an ellipse; its long diameter is 615 feet, its short 510; the height of the outer wall 164. The arena is 218 feet long by 176 broad. The tiers or seats accommodate one bundred thousand spectators.



medicines. Each perform a specific duty, thus doing away wit drastic purgatives and curing by the

Mild Power Theory.

One Pink Pill touches the liver, TO- CARRIAGES AND WAGONS moves the bile, the bile moves the bowels. The Tonic Pellet does the rest. Have one? Sample free at any store Complete Treatment, 25 doses To Brown Mfg. Co., N. Y. & Greeneville Tenn

THE WONDERS OF SCIENCE

Lung Troubles and Consumption can be Cured.

An Eminent New York Chemist and Scientist Makes a Free Offer to Our Readers.

The distinguished New York chemist, T. A. Slocum, demonstrating his discovery of a reliable and absolute cure for Consumption (Pulmonary Tuberculocis) and all bronchial, throat, lung and chest diseases, stubborn coughs, catarrhal affections, general decline and weak-ness, loss of fiesh, and all conditions of wasting away, will send THREE FREE BOTTLES (all different) of his New Discoveries to any afflicted reader of the Gazette writing for them.

His "New Scientific Treatment" has cured thousands permanently by its timely use, and he considers it a simple professional duty to suffering humanity to donate a trial of his infallible cure

Science daily develops new wonders, and this great chemist, patiently experimenting for years, has produced results as beneficial to humanity as can be claimed by any modern genius. His assertion that lung troubles and consumption are curable in any climate is preven by "heartfelt letters of gratitude," filed in his American and Euro. pean labratories in thousands from those cured in all parts of the world.

Medical experts concede that bronchial, chest and lung troubles lead to Consumption, which, uninterrupted means speedy and certain death.

Simply write to T. A. Slocum, M. C., 98 Pine street, New York, giving postoffice and express address, and the medicine will be premptly sent. Sufferers should take instant advantage of his generous proposition.

Please tell the Doctor that you saw

his offer in the Gazette

TONSORIAL ARTIST. John Carey's New Barber Shop.

CHARGES MODERATE ACCORDING TO WORK.

I ask a share of the patronage of the people of the town and the young gentlemen of the

John Carey. FIRST DOOR BELOW L. HENLEY'S DRUGSTORE.

Archer Brooks.

- INSURANCE |-VIRGINIA FIRE

AND MARINE INSURANCE Co.,

RICHMOND, · · - · · · VA Assetts, \$600,000. Organized 1832.

T. M. CHANDLER,

Yorktown, Va.,

Manufacturer of . . .

Oyster Tongs a Specialty.

Repairing of All Kinds

LANDS FOR SALE!

Now is the time to buy

As well as to list your Farms with C. M. BERGH & SON. We will tak # pleasure in showing Homeseekers over the country free of charge, thus giving the owner a chance to deal with purchasers themselves, and if no sale is made

No commission need be paid,

ny South, and they are owned by parties who want to, and will sell at reas-sonable prices. We also have Our List of Farms comprise some of the finest Improved Lands in the Sun-

An immense Lot of Timber Lands,

Composed of the finest and best quality of Timber in James City, You't and New Kent and adjoining counties, which will be sold at the Lowest prices posible and

In Tracts to suit.

We are on the Line of the C. & O. R'y, between Richmond and Newport News, and will at any time give you information as to Excursion dates, what Roads to come over, &c.

It will pay-you

To come and see us before making any contracts elsewhere. We have OYS-TER FARMS and TIMBER LANDS—as as well as fine Improved Farms on the C. & O. R'y. For further particulars, call on or address enclosing stamp

C.M. BERGH & SUN,

Land and Excursion Ag'ts C. & O. R'y, TOANO, VA.

